

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

<p>SYNIDA LEANN LOPEZ DE PAZ, Plaintiff,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC. dba EXPERIAN;</p> <p>Defendants.</p>	<p>Case No. 1:25-cv-2180 (JGK)</p>
---	------------------------------------

**MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO ENFORCE  
SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

Filed by:

Dated: New York, New York  
May 11, 2025

s/ Brian L. Ponder  
Brian L. Ponder, Esq. (BP0696)  
BRIAN PONDER LLP  
TRIAL LAWYERS  
745 Fifth Avenue, Suite 500  
New York, New York 10151-0099  
Telephone: (646) 450-9461  
Email: brian@brianponder.com  
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**Section Title**

I.	Introduction.....	4
II.	Statement of Facts.....	4
III.	Legal Standard.....	4
IV.A	The May 7–8 Emails Created a Binding Contract.....	4-5
IV.B	Experian’s Attempt to Revoke After Acceptance Fails.....	5
V.	Rule 408(b) Statement.....	5
VI.	Conclusion.....	5

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**Cases**

- *Ciaramella v. Reader’s Digest Ass’n*, 131 F.3d 320 (2d Cir. 1997).....4
- *Hostcentric Techs., Inc. v. Republic Thunderbolt, LLC*, 2005 WL 1377853 (S.D.N.Y. June 9, 2005) .....4

**Rules**

- Fed. R. Evid. 408(b).....5
- Restatement (Second) of Contracts §§ 17, 22.....5

## **MEMORANDUM OF LAW**

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

Plaintiff Synida Leann Lopez de Paz (“Plaintiff” or “Ms. Lopez de Paz”) respectfully requests that the Court enforce a binding settlement agreement entered into with Defendant Experian Information Solutions, Inc. (“Defendant” or “Experian”) via email on May 7–8, 2025. The terms were simple: \$8,000 in payment and deletion of two tradelines. Plaintiff accepted the offer unequivocally in writing, performed by sending a W-9, and requested follow-up details. Under SDNY precedent, these facts establish a binding agreement.

### **II. STATEMENT OF FACTS**

On May 7, 2025, Experian’s counsel sent an email offering \$8,000 and deletion of two accounts. On May 8, 2025, Plaintiff’s counsel responded: “The offer as presented is accepted.” A completed W-9 was sent and performance commenced. On May 9, Experian attempted to retract the agreement. The relevant email chain is attached as Exhibit A.

### **III. LEGAL STANDARD**

A contract is formed where there is mutual assent to essential terms and no condition precedent of formal execution. *Hostcentric Techs., Inc. v. Republic Thunderbolt, LLC*, 2005 WL 1377853, at 5 (S.D.N.Y. June 9, 2005). Email exchanges are enforceable where parties do not explicitly require execution of a written document. *Id.*

By contrast, in *Ciaramella v. Reader’s Digest Ass’n*, 131 F.3d 320, 322 (2d Cir. 1997), enforcement was denied only because both parties expressly conditioned agreement on a signed release — not present here.

### **IV. ARGUMENT**

#### **A. The May 7–8 Emails Created a Binding Contract**

Experian offered payment and deletion. Plaintiff accepted the offer verbatim. A completed W-9 was sent. All material terms were agreed upon. There is no evidence that a signed document was a prerequisite. *See Hostcentric*, 2005 WL 1377853, at 5–7.

**B. Experian’s Attempt to Revoke After Acceptance Fails**

Experian’s May 9 retraction—based on internal misunderstanding—is legally ineffective. The Restatement confirms that a contract is formed upon acceptance unless the offer is revoked before acceptance. **Restatement (Second) of Contracts §§ 17, 22.**

**V. RULE 408(b) STATEMENT**

The attached communications are offered solely to establish the existence and terms of the agreement, not to prove liability or damages. *See Fed. R. Evid. 408(b).*

**VI. CONCLUSION**

Considering the foregoing, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court:

1. Enforce the May 7–8, 2025 settlement agreement;
2. Order Experian to pay \$8,000 and delete the tradelines at issue;
3. Require the parties to file a stipulation of dismissal within 7 days of performance;
4. Grant such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: New York, New York  
May 11, 2025

s/ Brian L. Ponder  
Brian L. Ponder, Esq. (BP0696)  
BRIAN PONDER LLP  
TRIAL LAWYERS  
745 Fifth Avenue, Suite 500  
New York, New York 10151-0099  
Telephone: (646) 450-9461  
Email: [brian@brianponder.com](mailto:brian@brianponder.com)  
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

### **CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE**

Pursuant to Rule III.D of Judge Koeltl's Individual Practices, I certify that this memorandum of law contains **413 words**, excluding the cover page, table of contents, table of authorities, and this certification. I further certify that the brief complies with the formatting requirements set forth in the Court's rules.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: New York, New York  
May 11, 2025

s/ Brian L. Ponder  
Brian L. Ponder, Esq. (BP0696)  
BRIAN PONDER LLP  
TRIAL LAWYERS  
745 Fifth Avenue, Suite 500  
New York, New York 10151-0099  
Telephone: (646) 450-9461  
Email: [brian@brianponder.com](mailto:brian@brianponder.com)  
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SYNIDA LEANN LOPEZ DE PAZ,  Plaintiff,  v.  EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC. dba EXPERIAN;  Defendants.	Case No. 1:25-cv-2180 (JGK)  <b>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</b>
--	--

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was duly served upon all parties via CM/ECF.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: New York, New York  
May 11, 2025

s/ Brian L. Ponder  
Brian L. Ponder, Esq. (BP0696)  
BRIAN PONDER LLP  
TRIAL LAWYERS  
745 Fifth Avenue, Suite 500  
New York, New York 10151-0099  
Telephone: (646) 450-9461  
Email: brian@brianponder.com  
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF